2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

PAULA WETZEL AND JOEL WETZEL, ON BEHALF OF THEMSELVES AND OTHER SIMILARLY SITUATED PERSONS.

Plaintiffs,

v.

CERTAINTEED CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-CV-01160-RAJ

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant CertainTeed Corporation's Motion to Quash Plaintiffs' Subpoenas Served on CertainTeed's employees Clem Benavente, Tom Kirk, and Dale Walton, and Third-Party DW International, Inc. d/b/a DataWorks International, Inc. Dkt. #87. Plaintiffs oppose the motion. Dkt. #92.

It appears that the parties did not meet and confer prior to Defendant filing this motion. Defendant argues that it is not required to meet and confer if Plaintiffs require a court reporter to be present. This is not the case. Plaintiffs may engage a court reporter at their own expense; the presence of a court reporter does not provide Defendant an excuse to avoid its meet and confer responsibility.

Defendant further argues that it is excused from its meet and confer requirement under Rule 45. This is also not the case. This Court expressly requires parties to meet and confer before filing any motion. Richard A. Jones, General Motions Practice; Meet

and Confer Requirement,

http://www.wawd.uscourts.gov/sites/wawd/files/JonesGeneralMotionsPractice.pdf.

It is abundantly clear to this Court that the parties have relegated their discovery approach to standards that do not conform to the minimum levels of civility. This Court has an extremely challenging and congested motions docket. Consequently, this Court has limited time and resources to dedicate to the parties' discovery antics. The Court finds it unacceptable that the parties did not properly meet and confer; Defendant's argument that such a meeting is futile is disingenuous in light of the record before the Court.

The Court **STRIKES** Defendant's motion and **ORDERS** the parties to meet and confer within 7 days from the date of this Order. Defendant may refile its motion if the parties remain at an in impasse with regard to these subpoenas and document requests.

DATED this 26th day of June, 2018.

The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge

Richard A Jones